

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 25 2005

OFFICIAL

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Telephone 847 - 905 - 7111
Facsimile 847 - 905 - 7113

Date: SEPTEMBER 21, 2005

To: EXAMINER BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Fax #: (571) 273-8300

From: FRANK C. NICHOLAS
Phone #: (847) 424-2521

Client/Matter No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)

of Pages: 20

(including cover sheet)

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE, PLEASE CALL 847/905-7111, Ext. 112 AND ASK FOR JENNIFER CRUZ.

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE (AND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE.

** Refiling due to PTO not having a record
of this filing in Sept. 21, 2005.*

USPTO 9/21/2005 5:33 PM PAGE 1/001 FAX SERVER
 TO: Auto-reply fax to +18479057113 COMPANY:

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at +18479057113

Fax Information

Date Received:

9/21/2005 5:33:15 PM (Eastern Daylight Time)

Total Pages:

20 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page

=====>

SEP-21-05 4 15PM FROM-CLG FAX	+18479057113	T-952 P.01	F-786
<u>OFFICIAL</u>			
CARDINAL LAW GROUP			
1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston, Illinois 60201 Telephone 847-905-7111 Facsimile 847-905-7113			
Date:	SEPTEMBER 21, 2005		
To:	EXAMINER BONSHOCK, DENNIS G. U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (571) 373-8000		
Fax #:	(571) 373-8000		
From:	FRANK C. NICHOLAS		
Phone #:	(847) 424-2521		
Client/Matter No.:	ALIS92D010828US1 (5000/78)		
# of Pages:	20		
	(including cover sheet)		
<small>IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS AND DESIRE A FREE CONSULTATION, PLEASE CALL 800-377-1111 OR 1-800-484-4848 FOR ADDITIONAL INFO.</small>			
<small>THIS MESSAGE IS PROVIDED ONLY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PROPRIETARY, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY ADVISED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPIING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND DELETE THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE AND ALL COPIES TO BE SENT AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REAPPRaise YOU FOR FURTHER.</small>			
<small>PAGE 120 * RCVD AT 9/21/2005 5:43:15 PM (Eastern Daylight Time) * SVR:USPTO-EFAX-6/25 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:+18479057113 * DURATION (mm:ss):04:36</small>			

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

MEMORY TRANSMISSION REPORT

TIME : SEP-21-05 04:39PM
TEL NUMBER1: +18479057113
TEL NUMBER2:
NAME : CLG FAX

FILE NUMBER : 551
DATE : SEP-21 04:35PM
TO : 15712738300
DOCUMENT PAGES : 20
START TIME : SEP-21 04:35PM
END TIME : SEP-21 04:39PM
SENT PAGES : 20
FILE NUMBER 551

*** SUCCESSFUL TX NOTICE ***

OFFICIAL**CARDINAL LAW GROUP**

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Telephone 847-905-7111
Facsimile 847-905-7113

Date: SEPTEMBER 21, 2005
To: EXAMINER BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(571) 273-8300
Fax #: (571) 273-8300
From: FRANK C. NICHOLAS
Phone #: (847) 424-2521
Clical/Matter No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
of Pages: 20
(including cover sheet)

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE PLEASE CALL 847-905-7111 Ext. 112 AND ASK FOR JENNIFER CRUZ

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE (AND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



P (USB/21 (12-9)), approved for use through 9/30/00. One US\$1-00 fee is required for each page of information unless it is a page of information that is a duplicate of information already on file with the Patent and Trademark Office. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Attorney Docket No.	AU\$920010828US1 (9000/78)
	Application Number	09/981,905
	Filing Date	OCTOBER 18, 2001
	First Named Inventor	ANTHONY E MARTINEZ
	Group Art Unit	2173
	Examiner	BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Extension of Time Request (dup) <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Under 37 CFR 1.138 <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449, art <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Drawings: <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Petition Raising Slip (PTO/SB/68) and Accompanying Petition <input type="checkbox"/> To Convert a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Request of Refund	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Postcard <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below). <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM Corporation). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM Corporation). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

CALCULATION OF FEE

				Small Entity		or	Large Entity	
	Claims After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus		0	x \$25=	0	x \$50=	
Indep.		Minus		0	x \$100=	0	x \$200=	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+ \$180=	—	+ \$360=	
					total add'l fee		total add'l fee	
					\$ 0		\$ 0	

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm or Individual name	FRANK C NICHOLAS Registration No. 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1803 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanston, IL 60201		
Signature		Date	September 21, 2005
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on this date.			
Signature		Date	September 21, 2005
FRANK C NICHOLAS (33,983)			

PTOC8021 (12-97) Approved for use through 10/30/03 OMB 0551
Under the Paper Reduction Act of 1996, no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



Transmit and Trademark Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Transmit and Trademark Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

<h1>TRANSMITTAL FORM</h1> <p>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</p>	Attorney Docket No	AUS920010828US1 (900078)
	Application Number	09/981,905
	Filing Date	OCTOBER 18, 2001
	First Named Inventor	ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ
	Group Art Unit	2173
	Examiner	BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Extension of Time Request (dup) <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Under 37 CFR 1.138 <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449, an <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Drawings: <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition <input type="checkbox"/> To Convert a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Request of Refund	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Postcard <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below). <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM Corporation). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM Corporation). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.		

CALCULATION OF FEE

				Small Entity		Large Entity		
	Claims After Amendment		Highest No Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Add'l Fee	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus		0	x \$25=	0	x \$50=	
Indep		Minus		0	x \$100=	0	x \$200=	
First Presentation of Multiple Dep. Claim					+ \$180=	—	+ \$360=	
					total add'l fee	\$ 0	total add'l fee	\$ 0

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm or individual name	FRANK C. NICHOLAS Registration No. 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanston, IL 60201		
Signature		Date	September 21, 2005
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on this date: September 21, 2005			
Signature		Date	September 21, 2005
FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)			

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 25 2005

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted
via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United StatesPatent and Trademark Office on: September 21, 2005

(Date of Transmission)

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33 983)

Name of applicant, assignee or registered representative


SignatureSeptember 21, 2005

Date of Signature

PATENT

Case No. AUS920010828US1

(9000/78)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ, ET AL.

Serial No.: 09/981,905

Filed: OCTOBER 18, 2001

Title: METHOD OF VISUALLY INDICATING
TRANSFER OF DATA IN RESPONSE TO
A TRANSFER DATA COMMAND

Examiner: BONSHOCK, DENNIS G.

Group Art Unit: 2173

APPEAL BRIEFCommissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22202-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellants respectfully present their Brief on Appeal as follows:

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 2 of 17

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Real party in interest	3
2.	Related appeals and interferences	4
3.	Status of claims	5
4.	Status of amendments	6
5.	Summary of claimed subject matter	7
6.	Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal	8
7.	Argument	9
CONCLUSION		12
Claims appendix		13
Evidence appendix		17
Related proceedings appendix		17

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 3 of 17

1. **REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**

The real party in interest is assignee INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, USA and located at New Orchard Road, Armonk, New York 10504, USA

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 4 of 17

2. **RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

Appellant and the undersigned attorneys are not aware of any appeals or any interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 5 of 17

3. **STATUS OF CLAIMS**

Claims 1 -17 are currently pending in the application and stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by United States Patent 6,396,474 to Johnson. All claims are on appeal. See, the Appendix.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 6 of 17

4. **STATUS OF AMENDMENTS**

All previously filed amendments have been entered in the application.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 7 of 17

5. **SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER**

The invention provides a method of visually indicating transfer of data in response to a pointing device data transfer command. The method includes modifying a display position indicator 10 on a display screen of a computer 15 based on a data transfer command (page 4, lines 18-32) and animating (pages 5-7, FIG. 2, FIG. 3) data transfer on the display screen based on the data transfer command from a pointing device.

Animating the data transfer in response to one of a cut or copy command can include reducing a marked object 16, 18 FIG. 2 and moving the reduced object through the display position indicator into an indicator bubble 14. In addition, the marked object can be moved towards the display position indicator while the object is being reduced. FIG. 2, 16, 18, 58, FIG. 3. See also, pages 5-6.

Modifying the display position indicator in response to a paste command can include changing the display position indicator with a reduced object in an indicator bubble to a position indicator without a reduced object in an indicator bubble. FIG. 3, page 6, lines 15-30.

Animating data transfer in response to a paste command can include moving a reduced object from an indicator bubble in the display position indicator through the display position indicator, expanding the reduced object, and inserting the expanded object into a location indicated by an insertion marker. FIG. 3, page 6 line 5 to page 7 line 13.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 8 of 17

6. GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

- A) Does the display of a visual feedback while a mouse button is depressed, including generation of a text object, snapping the text object to the cursor, flashing the insertion caret at a location under the cursor and moving the text object with the cursor as disclosed by Johnson anticipate, under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), modifying a display position indicator and animating data transfer on the display screen based on the data transfer command as claimed in claim 1?
- B) Does the display of a text object of a limited size as disclosed by Johnson anticipate, under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), "moving the marked object toward the display position indicator while the object is being reduced"?

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 9 of 17

7. **ARGUMENTS**

GROUP I: Claims 1-3, 6, 9-11, 14, and 17

The rejection of claims 1-17 as anticipated under 35 U.S.C §102(e) over Johnson is traversed. In order to maintain this §102(e) rejection, each and every element of the claimed invention must be disclosed in as great detail by the reference. Because the reference does not disclose each and every element, this rejection must fall.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. V. Union Oil Co. of Calif.*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1989). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

At a minimum, Johnson does not disclose "modifying a display position indicator on a display screen," as claimed in independent claims 1, 9, and 17. The Examiner fails to describe exactly where Johnson discloses a display position indicator. As defined by the instant application, a display position indicator *indicates the completion level* of a current data transfer. The Examiner correctly alleges that Johnson discloses snapping text to a cursor to provide correspondence between movement of the pointer and associated subject matter. However, this "snapping text" is entirely different than indicating the completion level of a data transfer. Johnson moves a portion of the text being moved with the cursor – the claimed invention includes a display position indicator to indicate the completion level of a data transfer. Both Johnson and the claimed invention include the use of visuals to indicate activity – Johnson visualizes moving *text* by moving text, while the claimed invention visualizes the completion level of a task by modifying a *display position indicator*.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 10 of 17

The Examiner's comment in ¶16 of the August 25, 2004 office action illustrates the Examiner's failure to prove a §102(e) rejection. The Examiner correctly notes that Johnson teaches a "system in which text is snapped to a cursor for the purpose of moving the text." While the Examiner is correct, it is clear that a system in which text is snapped to a cursor is not the identical invention as "modifying a display position indicator... based on a data transfer command and animating data transfer on the display screen" as claimed. First, "snapping" and "animating" are entirely different. Second, text is not a display position indicator.

Johnson does not disclose each and every element claimed in claim 1 in as great as detail as claimed. Therefore, the §102(e) rejection must fail.

GROUP II: Claims 4-5, 7-8, 12-13, and 15-16

Johnson does not disclose, at a minimum, "reducing a marked object to a reduced object" as claimed in claim 4. The Examiner's statements to the contrary are misplaced, as Johnson makes no such disclosure.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. V. Union Oil Co. of Calif.*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1989). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

At most, Johnson discloses that the size of the text object may be limited. See, column 4, lines 20-34. The Examiner's other citations to Johnson are wholly silent as to reducing the size of a marked object. See, column 5, lines 3-5, column 7, lines 5-10 and FIGS. 3A-3C of Johnson.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 11 of 17

The Examiner's failure to prove anticipation is further illustrated by the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 5. Claim 5 requires moving the marked object toward the display position indicator *while* the object is being reduced (emphasis added). In response, the Examiner only alleges that Johnson discloses that the size of a text string may be changed after the text string has been moved - "... where the selected text is gradually moved to the other end of the cursor (non-pointed end) where size may be modified." Thus, Johnson does not disclose moving the object *while* the object is being reduced.

Similarly, claim 7 requires "expanding the reduced object." Johnson does not disclose expanding a reduced object.

Since Johnson does not disclose "reducing a marked object to a reduced object" as claimed in claim 4, the §102(e) rejection must fail.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 12 of 17


CONCLUSION

The Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-17 fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dated: September 21, 2005

Respectfully submitted,
ANTHONY E. MARTINEZ, *et al.*

CARDINAL LAW GROUP
Suite 2000
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Phone: (847) 905-7111
Fax: (847) 905-7113



Frank C. Nicholas
Registration No. 33,983
Attorney for Applicants

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 13 of 17

8. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A method of visually indicating transfer of data in response to a pointing device data transfer command comprising:
modifying a display position indicator on a display screen based on a data transfer command; and
animating data transfer on the display screen based on the data transfer command from a pointing device.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein the data transfer command is selected from a group consisting of cut, paste and copy.
3. The method of claim 1 wherein modifying the display position indicator in response to one of a cut or copy command comprises changing the display position indicator to a position indicator with a reduced object in an indicator bubble.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein animating data transfer in response to one of a cut or copy command comprises reducing a marked object to a reduced object; and moving the reduced object through the display position indicator into an indicator bubble.
5. The method of claim 4 further comprising:
moving the marked object towards the display position indicator while the object is being reduced.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 14 of 17

6. The method of claim 1 wherein modifying the display position indicator in response to a paste command comprises changing the display position indicator with a reduced object in an indicator bubble to a position indicator without a reduced object in an indicator bubble.

7. The method of claim 1 wherein animating data transfer in response to a paste command comprises moving a reduced object from an indicator bubble in the display position indicator through the display position indicator, expanding the reduced object, and inserting the expanded object into a location indicated by a insertion marker.

8. The method of claim 7 further comprising:
moving the expanding reduced object towards a insertion marker while the reduced object is expanded.

9. Computer usable medium including a program for visually indicating transfer of data in response to a pointing device data transfer command comprising:
computer readable code for modifying a display position indicator on a display screen based on a data transfer command; and
computer readable code for animating data transfer on the display screen based on the data transfer command from a pointing device.

10. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein the data transfer command is selected from a group consisting of cut, paste and copy.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 15 of 17

11. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein modifying the display position indicator in response to one of a cut or copy command comprises changing the display position indicator to a position indicator with a reduced object in an indicator bubble.

12. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein animating data transfer in response to one of a cut or copy command comprises reducing a marked object to a reduced object and moving the reduced object through the display position indicator into an indicator bubble.

13. The computer usable medium of claim 12 further comprising:
moving the marked object towards the display position indicator while the object is being reduced.

14. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein modifying the display position indicator in response to a paste command comprises changing the display position indicator with a reduced object in an indicator bubble to a position indicator without a reduced object in an indicator bubble.

15. The computer usable medium of claim 9 wherein animating data transfer in response to a paste command comprises moving a reduced object from an indicator bubble in the display position indicator through the display position indicator, expanding the reduced object, and inserting the expanded object into a location indicated by an insertion marker.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 16 of 17

16. The computer usable medium of claim 15 further comprising:
computer readable code for moving the expanding reduced object towards a
insertion marker while the reduced object is expanded.

17. A computer usable medium including a program for visually indicating
transfer of data in response to a pointing device data transfer command comprising:
means for modifying a display position indicator on a display screen based on
a data transfer command; and
means for animating data transfer on the display screen based on the data
transfer command from a pointing device.

September 21, 2005
Case No.: AUS920010828US1 (9000/78)
Serial No.: 09/981,905
Filed: October 18, 2001
Page 17 of 17

9. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

Appellants entered no evidence pursuant to §1.130, 1.131 or 1.132, and the Examiner entered no evidence that was relied upon by Appellants.

10. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

There are no copies of related decisions or proceedings.